Monitoring of Peirson's Milk-vetch in the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area 2016

Bureau of Land Management
El Centro Field Office
January 20, 2017

Cover photograph of Peirson's milk-vetch
(*Astragalus magdalenae* var. *peirsonii*)
by Debbie Sebesta

Contents

Preface iii

Executive Summary v

Introduction 1

Methods 3

Monitoring 3

Weather 8

Results 8

Monitoring results 8

Weather data 10

OHV impacts and tracks 13

Impacts from sources other than OHV use 13

Discussion 14

Distribution and abundance 14

Stage-class composition 14

OHV impacts and tracks 15

Future monitoring 15

Literature Cited 16

Tables

1 – Distribution of 19 Peirson's milk-vetch monitoring macroplots 4

2 – Monitoring macroplots to be censused in low rainfall years 5

3 – Macroplots and their positions in the Imperial Sand Dunes 6

4 – Monitoring dates and observers in 2016 8

5 – Number of PMV plants counted in six categories, the number of OHV tracks, the number of cells occupied by PMV, and the number of cells with OHV tracks for macroplots in 2014, 2015, and 2016 9

6 – Total number of PMV plants observed by macroplot in 2014, 2015, and 2016 compared to the estimated number of plants per macroplot based on 2005 sampling..... 10

7 - Growing season precipitation at the Imperial Sand Dunes for growing seasons 2013-2014, 2014-2015, and 2015-2016..... 10

Figures

1 – October to December precipitation from growing seasons 1964-1965 to 2009-2010..... 2

2 – 50m x 500m macroplot showing division of macroplot into forty 25m x 25m cells for data recording purposes 3

3 – Waypoint numbering system used in 2016 for monitoring each macroplot 7

4 – Growing season precipitation at the Imperial Sand Dunes between 1964 and 2016..... 12

5 – Monthly growing season precipitation for the 2013-2014, 2014-2015, and 2015-2016 growing seasons..... 13

Maps

1 – Imperial Sand Dunes showing 2005 sampling areas, former OHV closures, and Peirson's milk-vetch critical habitat 19

2 – Location of 19 macroplots in the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area..... 21

Preface

The author of this report is John Willoughby, contract botanist, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), California State Office. This report documents 2016 monitoring of Peirson's milk-vetch in the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area, as required by the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area Management Plan.

The monitoring study was designed by John Willoughby, Tony McKinney of the Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and Andrew Trouette of the BLM El Centro Field Office. Tony used data from a 2005 dunes-wide survey of Peirson's milk-vetch to select macroplots for monitoring and created waypoints that were downloaded into global positioning system devices for navigating to each macroplot and recording data. This report documents the third year of the monitoring. The monitoring in 2014 and 2015 is documented in a separate document (Willoughby 2015).

The 2016 monitoring was conducted by Andrew Trouette, Lara Kobelt, Jeff Sahagun, and Alexia Williams.

Executive Summary

In late winter 2016, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) monitored the abundance of Peirson's milk-vetch (*Astragalus magdalenae* var. *peirsonii*, hereafter referred to as PMV) in the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area, located in southeastern Imperial County, California. PMV is a Federally-listed threatened species and a State-listed endangered species.

Annual monitoring of PMV began in late winter of 2014 and continued in late winter and early spring of 2015 (Willoughby 2015). The 2016 monitoring reported on here represents the third year of monitoring.

The Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area Management Plan (ISDRAMP) prescribes two levels of monitoring for PMV, a full-scale approach when October-December precipitation at the Dunes is greater than 1.82 inches and a less-intense approach when precipitation during the October-December period is less than 1.82 inches (see Introduction for how this 1.82 inch threshold was derived). Nineteen macroplots, each 50m x 500m in size, were permanently established in areas representing the full range of Peirson's milk-vetch habitat in the Dunes, including areas both open and closed to off-highway vehicles (OHVs). Six of these macroplots are to be monitored in years in which the October-December precipitation is less than 1.82 inches, and all 19 macroplots are to be monitored in years in which the precipitation during that period equals or exceeds 1.82 inches.

Because the October-December precipitation was less than 1.82 inches in 2016, the monitoring effort was directed toward six macroplots. Although data were collected in all six macroplots, data for macroplots 10, 15, and 16 were lost due to an error with the GPS unit being used to record monitoring data.

Because of below-average precipitation in growing season 2015-2016, the number of Peirson's milk-vetch plants observed in 2016 was substantially fewer than would be expected given an above-average rainfall year (such as growing season 2004-2005), continuing the trend observed in both 2014 and 2015, which also followed growing seasons with low precipitation. Almost all (99%) of the plants observed in 2016 were not flowering at the time of the monitoring, likely because the plants observed represented a cohort of plants that germinated in response to an early January 2016 precipitation event. This, too, mimicked the 2014 and 2015 results, where almost all plants were seedlings and non-flowering plants. In contrast, the 2004-2005 growing season experienced rainfall that was both much higher than average and well distributed throughout both the early and late halves of the growing season. As a result, 75% of the plants sampled between late February and late April 2005 were either flowering or past flowering at the time of sampling.

Only 0.5 percent of the plants observed in 2016 were greater than one year old. This is likely reflective of the below-average growing season precipitation in the years preceding 2016, as well as the relatively low number of plants observed in 2014 and 2015 (Willoughby 2015). Given the fact that there was no precipitation at all in both February and March 2016, it is quite likely that the seedlings observed in late February and early March 2016 did not survive to flower in 2016, let alone survive the summer 2016. It is therefore unlikely that many of the plants observed in 2016 will survive the 2016 summer and flower in spring 2017. This is consistent with the observations of previous studies in which plants that germinate early in the growing season (e.g., October-December) flower and produce seeds in the same growing season (e.g., in March or April), whereas those that germinate later in the growing season (e.g., January-March) depend upon surviving to the next growing season to produce flowers and seeds. The former strategy likely produces far more seed overall because of the higher numbers of plants, but plants surviving more than one year produce more seed per plant.

Although the 2016 monitoring did not show any significant problems with direct impacts from OHV use, the presence of OHV tracks in macroplots 13 (within Peirson's milk-vetch critical habitat) may point to the need for additional signage and/or increased ranger patrols along the critical habitat borders.

It is recommended that future monitoring be conducted in April as opposed to March in order to allow sufficient time for any plants germinating in the first half of the growing season to flower.

Introduction

In late winter 2016, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) monitored the abundance of Peirson's milk-vetch (*Astragalus magdalenae* var. *peirsonii*, hereafter referred to as PMV) in the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area, located in southeastern Imperial County, California. PMV is a Federally-listed threatened species and a State-listed endangered species.

The Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area Management Plan (ISDRAMP) was approved in June 2013 (BLM 2013). The ISDRAMP closed PMV critical habitat, designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS 2008), to off-highway vehicles (OHVs). It also called for the lifting of interim OHV closures in effect since November 2000 pursuant to a series of lawsuits filed against BLM. These interim OHV closures were lifted in fall 2014 following a court decision earlier in 2014 (BLM 2014).

Annual monitoring of PMV began in late winter of 2014 and continued in late winter and early spring of 2015 (Willoughby 2015). The 2016 monitoring reported on here represents the third year of monitoring.

Appendix D of the ISDRAMP prescribes two levels of monitoring for PMV, a full-scale approach when October-December precipitation at the Dunes is greater than 1.82 inches and a less-intense approach when precipitation during the October-December period is less than 1.82 inches. The 1.82 threshold is the average October-December precipitation between 1964 and 2010 (0.89 inches) plus one standard deviation (0.93 inches; see Figure 1). A spring following this level of October-December precipitation is one in which significant PMV germination, growth, and seed production can be expected (Phillips and Kennedy 2003 and 2006; Willoughby 2001 and 2004). As an example, spring of 2005 was a banner year for PMV plants, as shown by BLM's 2005 monitoring program (Willoughby 2005b). The October-December precipitation for 2004 was well above the 1.82 inch threshold (Figure 1).



Figure 1. October to December precipitation from growing seasons 1964-1965 to 2009-2010. The full growing season is defined as October 1 to March 30. Thus, the October-December period is the first half of the growing season. Precipitation values for growing seasons 1964-1965 to 2000-2001 are the averages of seven WRCC weather stations in the vicinity of the Imperial Sand Dunes. The values for growing seasons 2001-2002 to 2009-2010 are the averages of the precipitation recorded for the two Remote Area Weather Stations in the Imperial Sand Dunes (these stations were installed in November 2000). The bottom dashed line is the average October-December growing season precipitation of the 7 WRCC weather stations (0.93 inches). The top dashed line is 1 standard deviation above the average (standard deviation = 0.89 inches). Growing seasons with October-December precipitation higher than the standard deviation line would be expected to be banner years for Peirson's milk-vetch. Monitoring during the spring following the 2004-2005 growing season (Willoughby 2005b) appears to bear this out.

Methods

Monitoring. In 2014 nineteen permanent macroplots were established in areas representative of good PMV habitat in the Imperial Sand Dunes. Macroplots are 50m x 500m in size and are oriented southwest to northeast. The macroplots are divided into forty 25x25 meter cells (Figure 2).

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21

Figure 2. 50m x 500m macroplot showing division of macroplot into forty 25m x 25m cells for data recording purposes. Cells are read in the order they are numbered, from Cell 1 to Cell 40.

A cell size of 25m x 25m was chosen to mimic the cell sizes used in the 2003-2007 sampling of Peirson's milk-vetch (Willoughby 2004b, 2005a, 2005b, 2006, 2007). In those studies long, belt transects 25m wide and between 2.35 and 7.73 km long were employed to estimate the population size of PMV in each year. Counts of variables were recorded in 25m segments along each belt transect, resulting in a dataset consisting of more than 339,000 cells in 2005, of which more than 26,116 supported one or more PMV plants. The 2005 data represents both the richest data set (in terms of number of cells read) and the best estimate to date of the abundance and distribution of PMV in the Imperial Sand Dunes (FWS 2008; Willoughby 2006).

The 2005 monitoring project divided the dunes into 16 sampling areas, each of which was sampled using a systematic random sampling scheme (Map 1).[1] Ten of these sampling areas were selected for monitoring in 2014 and beyond based on the number of plants found in the 2005 survey. The chosen sampling areas run the full extent of the dunes from northwest to southeast, but include only the westernmost sampling areas in areas of the dunes south of the North Algodones Dunes Wilderness Area. This is because most PMV plants occupy these westernmost sampling areas, which is also the reason that most of the critical habitat is concentrated in these westernmost sampling areas (Map 1).

Table 1 lists the general locations, number of macroplots, and the criteria used to distribute the nineteen permanent PMV macroplots among the 10 sampling areas in the Imperial Sand Dunes. In years with October-December precipitation above 1.82 inches, all 19 of these macroplots will be monitored.

[1] Note that the numbering system for the 16 sampling areas used in 2005 is missing the numbers 1, 2, 9, and 10. Sampling areas with those numbers were sampled in 2004 and proved to be too variable, so they were divided into smaller sampling areas for the 2015 sampling. New numbers were assigned to these smaller sampling areas.

Table 1. Distribution of 19 Peirson's milk-vetch monitoring macroplots in the Imperial Sand Dunes. The table lists sampling areas from north to south (see Map 1).

Sampling Area	Number of Macroplots	Criteria
13	1	One macroplot in the Mammoth Wash area of the Dunes in critical habitat north of the area that was closed between 2000 and 2013.
14	1	One macroplot in the Mammoth Wash area of the Dunes in critical habitat inside the area closed between 2000 and 2013.
15	1	One macroplot in critical habitat in the northern part of the Wilderness.
16	1	One macroplot in critical habitat in the southern part of the Wilderness.
3	2	One macroplot outside the former closure area and one inside. This is outside of critical habitat but in an area that supported plants in 2005.
4	2	One macroplot outside both former closure areas and one inside the former large central closure. This is outside of critical habitat but in an area that supported plants in 2005.
7	3	As all of this sampling area was closed between 2000 and 2013 the macroplots will be evenly distributed in critical habitat from north to south.
18	3	As all of this sampling area was closed between 2000 and 2013 the macroplots will be evenly distributed in critical habitat from north to south.
19	4	Three macroplots evenly distributed between the north and south parts of the sampling area in the critical habitat area north of the former OHV corridor to Patton Valley (with one just north of the corridor) and one macroplot in the critical habitat area south of the former corridor.
11	1	One macroplot in the critical habitat area in this sampling area.
Total	**19**	

In years with October-December precipitation less than 1.82 inches, six of the 19 macroplots will be monitored. Table 2 lists the general locations, number of macroplots, and the criteria used to distribute these six PMV macroplots among the 10 sampling areas in the Imperial Sand Dunes.

Table 2. Monitoring macroplots to be censused in low rainfall years.

Sampling Area	Number of Macroplots	Criteria
13	0	
14	0	
15	0	
16	0	
3	1	The macroplot inside the former closure area would be monitored. The reason for this is that the area closed was chosen based on it supporting more plants in the 1998 study (Willoughby 1998).
4	1	The macroplot inside the former central closure area would be monitored. The reason for this is that the area closed was chosen based on it supporting more plants in the 1998 study.
7	1	The middle of the three macroplots in this sampling area will be censused.
18	1	The middle of the three macroplots in this sampling area will be censused.
19	2	Because of potential concerns related to the vehicle corridor to Patton Valley (closed in summer 2014) near the boundary between critical habitat subunits 3A and 3B, the macroplots just north and south of the former corridor will be censused.
11	0	
Total	**6**	

Based on these general criteria, Tony McKinney, GIS expert with the Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office of the Fish and Wildlife Service, used the 2005 monitoring data to create specific locations for each of the 19 macroplots (Map 2). See Willoughby (2015) for the methods Tony employed to determine specific macroplot locations.

Table 3 lists the 19 macroplots and shows their location relative to the 2005 sampling areas. Map 2 plots their locations in the Dunes.

Table 3. Macroplots and their positions in the Imperial Sand Dunes. X's show the macroplots to be monitored according to the October-December precipitation threshold.

Macroplot	Sampling Area	October-December Precipitation		Location
		< 1.82 inches	> 1.82 inches	
1	13		X	In critical habitat
2	14		X	In critical habitat
3	15		X	In North Algodones Wilderness Area
4	16		X	In North Algodones Wilderness Area
5	3		X	In area never closed to OHV use
6	3	X	X	In area closed to OHV use 2000-2014
7	4		X	In area never closed to OHV use
8	4	X	X	In area closed to OHV use 2000-2014
9	7		X	In critical habitat in northern part of sampling area
10	7	X	X	In critical habitat in middle part of sampling area
11	7		X	In critical habitat in southern part of sampling area
12	18		X	In critical habitat in northern part of sampling area
13	18	X	X	In critical habitat in middle part of sampling area
14	18		X	In critical habitat in southern part of sampling area
15	19	X	X	In critical habitat just north of former vehicle corridor to Patton Valley (north part of sampling area)
16	19	X	X	In critical habitat just south of former vehicle corridor to Patton Valley (north part of sampling area)
17	19		X	In critical habitat in middle part of sampling area
18	19		X	In critical habitat in southern part of sampling area
19	11		X	In critical habitat in southern part of sampling area

Peirson's milk-vetch was completely censused in each macroplot for the following variables: (1) seedlings and young, nonflowering plants, (2) flowering plants, (3) total number of plants (this is the total of categories 1 and 2), (4) number of plants greater than 1-year old, (5) number of

plants showing damage from OHVs, and (6) number of plants showing damage from sources other than OHVs. In addition to these six PMV variables, the number of OHV tracks was also recorded. These seven variables were recorded separately for each 25m x 25m cell. Macroplots are located using a global positioning system (GPS) with waypoints entered into each GPS unit corresponding to the beginning and end of each 25m x 25m cell. Figure 3 shows the numbering system used in 2016.



Figure 3. Waypoint numbering system used in 2016 for monitoring each macroplot.

The following describes the system used to collect monitoring data in 2016. The waypoints numbered 0 are for navigation purposes only and have no data recorded for them. The observers begin the monitoring at the 0 point at the southwest point along the upper edge of the macroplot. They then set the GPS unit to navigate toward waypoint 1. Observer 1 (with the GPS) stays on the top (left edge) of the belt transect, while the second observer stays 25m away from Observer 1 on the right edge of the transect (if there is a third observer, that observer traverses the center of the belt). These observers then count and record the number of plants/tracks in each of the seven categories for the cell ending at waypoint 1 (this is Cell 1; see Figure 2). Those values are recorded in the GPS unit under waypoint 1. The observers then continue recording data for cells 2-20 (the top row of cells) and navigate down to the waypoint 0 at the southeast corner of the lower edge of the macroplot. Observer 1 continues down the lower (left) edge of the macroplot while Observer 2 stays 25m away on the right edge of the transect (which is the middle of the macroplot). This continues until the data for the last cell is recorded under waypoint 40 (this is Cell 40; see Figure 2).

Total values are then computed for each macroplot by summing the values recorded for cells 1-40.

Weather. Precipitation data were obtained from two remote area weather stations (RAWS) in the Imperial Sand Dunes, one located in the northern half of the dunes at the Cahuilla Ranger Station near State Highway 78 on the western edge of the dunes and the other at Buttercup in the southern part of the dunes south of Interstate 8. The locations of these stations are shown in Willoughby (2004a, Map 6). The monthly precipitation shown in the results section is the average of the two RAWS stations for a particular month.

Results

The October-December precipitation in 2016, like that of both 2014 and 2015, was below the threshold of 1.82 inches. Therefore, monitoring consisted of monitoring only the six plots as shown in Table 3.

Macroplots were monitored between February 29 and March 4 as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Monitoring dates and observers in 2016.

Macroplot	Date Monitored	Observers
6	3/3/2016	Andrew Trouette and Lara Kobelt
8	3/4/2016	Andrew Trouette, Alexia Williams, and Lara Kobelt
10	3/1/2016	Andrew Trouette, Alexia Williams, and Lara Kobelt
13	3/2/2016	Andrew Trouette and Lara Kobelt
15	3/1/2016	Andrew Trouette, Alexia Williams, and Lara Kobelt
16	2/29/2016	Andrew Trouette, Jeff Sahagun, Alexia Williams, and Lara Kobelt

Monitoring results. Although all six macroplots were monitored in 2016, the monitoring data for macroplots 10, 15, and 16 were lost due to an error that occurred with one of the Trimble GPS units used to record data. Thus, data for these three macroplots are treated as missing.

Table 5 shows the number of plants observed in each of the six categories for each of the macroplots in 2014, 2015, and 2016. It also shows the number of vehicle tracks observed, the number of cells in which PMV plants were found, and the number of cells in which OHV tracks were observed (there are 40 cells in each macroplot and a total of 240 cells for all six macroplots).

Table 5. Number of PMV plants counted in six categories, the number of OHV tracks, the number of cells occupied by PMV, and the number of cells with OHV tracks for macroplots in 2014, 2015, and 2016. Macroplot 8 was not monitored in 2014. Macroplots 10, 15, and 16 were monitored in 2016 but the monitoring data was lost due to an error with the GPS unit used to record the data.

Macro plot	Year	Num Seed-lings	Num Flower-ing	Total	Num > 1 yr	Num w OHV Damage	Num w Other Damage	Num OHV Tracks	Num Cells Occupied by PMV	Num Cells w OHV Tracks
	2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	8
6	2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2016	55	0	55	0	0	0	186	3	30
	2014	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	2015	554	0	554	0	1	0	43	16	20
	2016	402	54	456	7	2	0	155	13	31
	2014	268	10	278	4	0	0	0	7	0
10	2015	770	0	770	0	0	0	6	11	2
	2016	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2014	1,133	65	1,198	19	0	0	0	17	0
13	2015	1,374	11	1,385	3	0	0	6	15	6
	2016	5,519	17	5,536	25	0	0	4	21	2
	2014	683	3	686	2	0	0	11	20	9
15	2015	10	0	10	0	0	0	12	3	5
	2016	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2014	144	1	145	1	0	0	3	12	1
16	2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	11
	2016	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2014	2,238	81	2,319	26	0	0	142	64	83
Total	2015	2,708	11	2,719	3	1	0	85	45	44
	2016	5,976	71	6,047	32	2	0	345	37	63

The macroplots used for the 2014-2016 monitoring were chosen based on the fact that they encompassed rather high numbers of plants during the 2005 surveys. The total number of plants that would have been observed in the macroplots had they existed in 2005 has been estimated. Table 6 shows the total number of PMV individuals observed by macroplot in 2014, 2015, and 2016 compared to the estimated total number of individuals per macroplot based on the data from the 2005 sampling. The 2005 estimated number is based on the numbers of plants in the 2005 sampled cells that overlapped the macroplot. Because not all of the macroplots' cells were sampled in 2005, the actual number of plants in each macroplot in 2005 was likely higher than the number shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Total number of PMV plants observed by macroplot in 2014, 2015, and 2016 compared to the estimated number of plants per macroplot based on 2005 sampling.

Macroplot	Total 2014 Plants	Total 2015 Plants	Total 2016 Plants	Estimated Total 2005 Plants
6	0	0	55	387
8	NA	554	456	473
10	278	770	NA	673
13	1,198	1,385	5,536	3,159
15	686	10	NA	8,732
16	145	0	NA	4,646
Total	**2,307**	**2,719**	**6,047**	**18,070**

Weather data. Table 7 shows the weather data collected at the two RAWS for growing seasons 2013-2014, 2014-2015, and 2015-2016. The 50-year growing season average of the WRCC stations in the vicinity of the dunes and the RAWS is given for comparison (the average of 7 weather stations are used for averages between growing seasons 1965-1966 to 1999-2000; the RAWS data are used after that).

Table 7. Growing season precipitation at the Imperial Sand Dunes for growing seasons 2013-2014, 2014-2015, and 2015-2016. The 50-year growing season average of the WRCC stations in the vicinity of the dunes and the RAWS is given for comparison. All units are in inches.

Growing Season	Oct-Dec ppt.	Jan-Mar ppt.	Total Growing Season ppt.	Long-term Growing Season Average ppt. of all WRCC Stations
2013-2014	0.43	0.06	0.49	2.00
2014-2015	0.33	1.01	1.34	2.00
2015-2016	0.22	0.50	0.72	2.00

Figure 4 graphs Algodones Dunes growing season precipitation between 1964 and 2016. The top panel of the graph shows the precipitation in the first half of each growing season, October through December. The middle panel graphs the precipitation in the second half of each growing season, January through March. The bottom panel shows the precipitation for each complete growing season. Until 1999 the data are the average of several weather stations to the east and west of the Dunes. From 1999 onward the data are the average of the two RAWS stations established in the Dunes (at Cahuilla and Buttercup). Dotted lines in each panel show

the 1964-2016 average for each period and allow comparison of a particular growing season's precipitation to the long-term average precipitation for that growing season (or half growing season).

Figure 5 shows the monthly distribution of rainfall for growing seasons 2013-2014, 2014-2015, and 2015-2016. Note that precipitation was relatively low in all months except March 2015 and that some months (October, January, and February in growing season 2013-2014, November and February in growing season 2014-2015, and February and March in growing season 2015-2016) had no rainfall at all.



Figure 4. Growing season precipitation at the Imperial Sand Dunes between 1964 and 2016. The growing season is defined as the period October through March. The top panel shows the precipitation in the first half of each growing season, October through December. The middle panel graphs the precipitation in the second half of each growing season, January through March. The bottom panel shows the precipitation for each entire growing season. Until 1999 the data are the average of several weather stations to the east and west of the Dunes. From 1999 onward the data are the average of the two RAWS stations established in the Dunes (at Cahuilla and Buttercup). Dotted lines in each panel show the 1964-2016 average for each period.



Figure 5. Monthly growing season precipitation for the 2013-2014, 2014-2015, and 2015-2016 growing seasons. Monthly values are the average of the two RAWS for months in which both RAWS were operable, or the precipitation recorded at the single operable RAWS for months in which one of the RAWS was offline (this applies to the 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 growing seasons; no RAWS were offline in 2015-2016).

OHV impacts and tracks. As Table 5 shows, two of the PMV plants counted in the 2016 survey showed evidence of OHV damage.[2] Both of these plants were found in Macroplot 8, an area that has been open to OHV use since fall 2014. This compares to one plant with OHV damage in 2015, also in Macroplot 8, and zero OHV-damaged plants in 2014.

OHV tracks totaled 325 in 2016 throughout the three macroplots for which monitoring data are available (Table 5). This compares to a total of 85 tracks in 2015 and 142 tracks in 2014. All but four of the 325 tracks were found in macroplots 6 and 8, both of which are open to OHV use. The remaining four tracks were found in Macroplot 13 which is closed to OHV use by virtue of being inside PMV critical habitat.

Impacts from sources other than OHV use. No damage was observed from sources other than OHV use, such as those that might occur from animals (e.g., insects and small mammals) or disease. This is the same result encountered in 2014 and 2015.

[2] A determination of OHV damage is made when a plant shows damage (e.g., a broken stem) and a vehicle track crosses the area where the plant occurs.

Discussion

Distribution and abundance. Rainfall during the 2015-2016 growing season was less than half of the long-term average, continuing a trend that started with the 2011-2012 growing season (Figure 4). Despite this, the total number of plants counted in 2016 (6,047) was more than twice the number counted in 2015 (2,719), despite the fact that the 2016 data includes only three of the six macroplots monitored (Table 6). Almost all of these plants were seedlings, a fact that will be discussed further in the section on stage-class composition below. This number still falls well short of the estimated 18,070 plants that would have occupied these macroplots in 2005 (Table 6), after a growing season of much higher than average precipitation.

Most of the plants observed in 2016 (91.5%) were in Macroplot 13, with 7.5% in Macroplot 8 and 1.0% in Macroplot 6. This is similar to the distribution among these macroplots in 2015 and 2014 (although Macroplot 8 was not monitored in 2014). Based on the 2005 sampling (Willoughby 2005), there is a north-south gradient in terms of number of plants, with the southern part of the Dunes supporting more plants than the northern part. Thus, the larger number of plants observed in Macroplot 13 compared to the number observed in macroplots 6 and 8 would be as expected given that the former macroplot is in the southern part of the Dunes and the latter macroplots are in the northern part. Based on 2005 data, the number of plants in macroplots 15 and 16, both of which are in the southern Dunes, would also be expected to be higher than in macroplots 6 and 8, but the lack of data from those macroplots in 2016 does not allow an evaluation of this.

Stage-class composition. Almost all (99%) of the plants counted in 2016 were seedlings and young, non-flowering plants. These plants likely represent a cohort of plants that germinated in response to the 0.50 inches of rain that fell on the Dunes in January (Figure 7; all of this rain fell between January 4 and January 7, 2016).

The dearth of flowering plants observed in 2016 may be partly the result of the relatively early timing of the 2016 monitoring (February 29 – March 4), but is most likely the result of insufficient rainfall in the first half of the growing season (October – December). Large percentages of seedlings and young, nonflowering plants were also observed in 2014 and 2015 (Table 6) and is similar to the stage class distributions observed in the 2003 and 2004 monitoring (Willoughby 2004b, 2005a). The disproportionate number of non-flowering plants is likely the result of uneven distribution of rainfall through the growing season, with little precipitation during the first half (Oct – Dec) of the growing season.

In contrast, the 2004-2005 growing season experienced rainfall that was both much higher than average and well distributed throughout both the early and late halves of the growing season

(Figure 4). As a result, 75% of the plants sampled between late February and late April 2005 were either flowering or past flowering at the time of sampling.

Only 0.5 percent of the plants observed in 2016 were greater than one year old. This is likely reflective of the below-average growing season precipitation in the years preceding 2016, as well as the relatively low number of plants observed in 2014 and 2015 (Willoughby 2015). Given the fact that there was no precipitation at all in both February and March 2016, it is quite likely that the seedlings observed in late February and early March 2016 did not survive to flower in 2016, let alone survive summer 2016.

Porter et al. (2005) and Phillips and Kennedy (2005) noted that PMV possesses two different strategies for seed production. Plants that flower early in the growing season have time to flower and set seed during the same season. Porter et al. state there is approximately 90 days between germination and flowering. Plants that germinate later in the growing season do not flower in that growing season. Rather, they depend upon surviving through the summer to flower and produce seeds in the subsequent growing season. The former strategy appears to produce the largest quantity of seed simply because the numbers of same-season flowering plants are much higher (Phillips and Kennedy 2005 and 2006; Willoughby 2005 and 2006), but the latter strategy certainly also works to put seeds into the seed bank. Although 1-year old and older plants are far fewer, they produce much more seed than same-season flowering plants (Porter et al. 2005, Phillips and Kennedy 2005). Although the numbers of plants observed in 2006, following a dismal growing season, were low, Willoughby (2006) concluded that all of the plants must have been one or more years old: there simply was not enough rain to stimulate any germination of PMV in the 2005-2006 growing season.

OHV impacts and tracks. Only two plants showed evidence of damage from OHV use in 2016, in an area open to OHV use. The study did find, however, four OHV tracks inside Macroplot 13, an area that is closed to OHV use by virtue of being within Peirson's milk-vetch critical habitat. This may indicate the need for additional signage and/or increased ranger patrols along the critical habitat borders.

Future monitoring. Annual monitoring will continue in 2017 and beyond. It is recommended that—to the extent possible – future monitoring occur in April rather than March in order to allow time for any plants germinating in the early part of the growing season to flower. This timing may be more difficult to achieve in years in which the October – December rainfall exceeds 1.82 inches, in which case all 19 macroplots will need to be monitored. Not only will this increase the workload in terms of more than tripling the number of macroplots monitored, the time to monitor each macroplot will also increase due to increased numbers of plants to count.

Literature Cited

BLM-Bureau of Land Management. 2013. Imperial Sand Dunes record of decision and recreation area management plan. BLM El Centro Field Office, El Centro, CA.

BLM-Bureau of Land Management. 2014. Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area set to change closures this fall. News Release No. CA-CDD-14-31, BLM California Desert District Office, Moreno Valley, CA.

FWS-Fish and Wildlife Service. 2008. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; revised designation of critical habitat for *Astragalus magdalenae* var. *peirsonii* (Peirson's milk-vetch). Federal Register 73:8748-8785.

Phillips, A. M., III, and D. J. Kennedy. 2003. The ecology of Astragalus magdalenae var. peirsonii: Germination and survival. Final report prepared for the American Sand Association, Flagstaff, AZ.

Phillips, A. M., III, and D. J. Kennedy. 2005. The life history of Peirson's milkvetch (Astragalus magdalenae var. peirsonii) in the Algodones Dunes, California 2004-2005. Report prepared for the American Sand Association, Flagstaff, AZ.

Phillips, A. M., III, and D. J. Kennedy. 2006. Seed bank and survival of Peirson's milkvetch (Astragalus magdalenae var. peirsonii) in the Algodones Dunes, California, 2005-06. Prepared for the American Sand Association, Eckert, CO.

Porter, J. M., O. Mistretta, and S. Hobbs. 2005. Studies of the natural history of Astragalus magdalenae var. peirsonii (Peirson's milkvetch) Final Report. Rancho Santa Ana Botanic Garden, Claremont, CA.

Willoughby, J. 2000. Monitoring of special status plants in the Algodones Dunes, Imperial County, California: Results of 1998 monitoring and comparison with the data from WESTEC's 1977 monitoring study. Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, CA. Report. Maps.

Willoughby, J. 2001. Monitoring of special status plants in the Algodones Dunes, Imperial County, California: 1977, 1998, 1999, and 2000. Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, CA. Report. Maps.

Willoughby, J. 2004a. Monitoring of special status plants in the Algodones Dunes, Imperial County, California 1977, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002. Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, CA.

Willoughby, J. 2004b. Monitoring of special status plants in the Algodones Dunes, Imperial County, California: results of 2003 pilot sampling. Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, CA.

Willoughby, J. 2005a. 2004 monitoring of special status plants in the Algodones Dunes, Imperial County, California. Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, CA.

Willoughby, J. 2005b. 2005 monitoring of Peirson's milk-vetch in the Algodones Dunes, Imperial County, California. Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, CA. Report. Maps.

Willoughby, J. 2006. 2006 monitoring of Peirson's milk-vetch in the Algodones Dunes, Imperial County, California. Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, CA.

Willoughby, J. 2007. 2007 monitoring of Peirson's milk-vetch in the Algodones Dunes, Imperial County, California. Seed bank sampling study. Bureau of Land Management, California State Office, Sacramento, CA.

Willoughby, J. 2015. Monitoring of Peirson's milk-vetch in the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area, 2014 and 2015. Bureau of Land Management, El Centro Field Office, El Centro, CA.

Map 1
Imperial Sand Dunes Showing 2005 Sampling Areas, Former OHV Closures, and Peirson's Milk-vetch Critical Habitat




Map 2
Location of 19 Macroplots in the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area
Legend
Imperial Sand Dunes Boundary
Monitoring Macroplots [19]
Peirson's milk-vetch Critical Habitat
North Algodones Wilderness Area
5 0 5 10 15 20 km
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19